

14 January 1998

Re: NEPA Technical Inquiry 0152 - SOWs, NHPA Fact Sheet, Court Case

Dear NEPA Call-In User:

This letter is in response to your September 10, 1997 request for sample scopes of work (SOWs) for environmental impact statements (EIS) and cultural resource assessments. You would also like a copy of the following documents: (1) NEPA Call-In fact sheet, "NHPA Section 106 Review;" (2) Technical Inquiry (TI) 142, "Summary of Case Law Citations;" and (3) the United States District Court, Eastern District of New York, case of Concord Village Owners, Inc., v. General Services Administration (GSA) and United States Postal Service (USPS) (97 Civ 2607) (Concord v. GSA).

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

NEPA Call-In provided 2 SOWs for EISs and 2 SOWs for a cultural resource assessment, as well as a contact in the National Office to assist you in customizing a cultural resource assessment SOW to your needs. We also provided "NHPA Section 106 Review," TI 142, and Concord v. GSA.

DETAILED FINDINGS

NEPA Call-In searched its database and located the following EIS SOWs enclosed on 3.5" diskette in Microsoft Word 6.0 format:

1. SOW for an EIS for the construction of a U.S. Courthouse Annex in Savannah, Georgia, previously provided by Environmental Quality Advisory Group (EQAG), Region 4 (identified on the diskette as rgn4-eis); and
2. Generic SOW for an EIS previously provided by Property Disposal, Region 5, (rgn5-eis).

We also located the following cultural resource assessment SOWs enclosed on 3.5" diskette in Microsoft Word 6.0 format:

1. SOW for a cultural resource assessment for Arlington Hall Station, Arlington, Virginia, previously provided by EQAG, National Capitol Region (NCR), (ncr-cra); and
2. Generic SOW for a cultural resource assessment previously provided by EQAG, Region 6, (rgn6-cra).

NEPA Call-In contacted the Advisor, Cultural Resource Compliance, GSA, for additional cultural resource assessment SOWs. The Advisor stated he is available to assist you in drafting a SOW specific to your needs, and suggested you contact him directly.

We are also providing a hard copy of "NHPA Section 106 Review," TI 142, and Concord v. GSA.

The materials in this TI have been prepared for use by GSA employees and contractors and are made available at this site only to permit the general public to learn more about NEPA. The information is not intended to constitute legal advice or substitute for obtaining legal advice from an attorney licensed in your state and may or may not reflect the most current

legal developments. Readers should also be aware that this response is based upon laws, regulations, and policies in place at the time it was prepared and that this response will not be updated to reflect changes to those laws, regulations and policies.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed)

NEPA Call-In Researcher