

FEDERAL JUDICIARY COURTHOUSE PROJECT PRIORITIES (CPP)
AS APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES
September 17, 2015

The *Federal Judiciary Courthouse Project Priorities (CPP)* is the judiciary’s list of courthouse construction funding priorities as approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States (Judicial Conference). The CPP was developed using the results of the Asset Management Planning (AMP) process. Approved by the Judicial Conference in 2008, AMP is a comprehensive facility planning tool designed to identify the judiciary’s most urgent space needs, address cost-containment concerns, and incorporate applicable industry best practices.

The AMP process incorporates rigorous facility assessments and applies standards that support several of the objectives in the “*Strategic Plan for the Federal Judiciary.*” Each courthouse nationwide is assessed to determine current and future needs, identify preliminary housing solutions as needed, and calculate the relative urgency of need compared to other courts nationwide. Factors considered include building condition, building functionality, security, compliance with space standards, courtroom and chambers needs, and caseload and personnel growth. The resulting data and analysis is compiled in a long-range facilities plan for each judicial district. In addition, an Urgency Evaluation (UE) Results List that ranks space urgency by court location on a "worst first" basis is developed. Each location’s score is updated annually until a project is placed on Part I of the CPP, at which time its score is “frozen” to ensure certainty of planning for site acquisition, design, and funding requests.

The CPP is divided into two parts. Part I, which is provided immediately below, consists of the judiciary’s courthouse construction funding priorities for FY 2017. Part II, on the following page, identifies outyear courthouse construction priorities.

Part I: Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 Courthouse Construction Funding Priorities										
				*FUNDING SUMMARY (\$M)						
Priority	District	Location	Project Description	FY 2017 Funding Request		Previously Funded		Est.Total (Site/Design, Construction)	Site Acquired	Status (as of 4/2015)
				Site/Design	Construction	Site/Design	Construction			
1	Middle District of Tennessee	Nashville, TN	New Courthouse	3.29	185.14	26.10	0.00	214.53	Yes	Design - 80%
2	Northern District of Ohio	Toledo, OH	Courthouse Annex	7.82	87.58	6.50	0.00	101.90	Yes	Design - 66%
3	Western District of N.Carolina	Charlotte, NC	Courthouse Annex	5.37	150.79	8.50	0.00	164.66	In Progress	Design - 0%
4	Southern District of Iowa	Des Moines, IA	New Courthouse	TBD	TBD	0.00	0.00	TBD	No	Unfunded
TOTAL:				16.48	423.51	41.10	0.00	481.09		
NOTES:										
* All actual and estimated site, design, and construction costs are provided by the General Services Administration (GSA).										
- Judiciary courtroom sharing policies have been applied to the planning and design of all projects.										
- All projects have been assessed using the AMP process. UE scores are frozen as of the year the location was added to Part I to ensure certainty of planning for site acquisition, design, and funding requests, and the scores are no longer shown on Part I.										

The judiciary also identifies outyear courthouse construction priorities which are contained in Part II of the CPP. These projects have been assessed under the AMP process and prioritized based on the project location's UE score. As projects in Part I are funded and constructed, the project(s) in Part II that are highest ranked at that time may potentially move to Part I. Until a project is moved to Part I, its UE score will be refreshed each year to capture changes in courtroom needs, chambers needs, and caseload growth, and as a result, its place in the prioritization of Part II projects may change.

Part II: CPP Outyear Courthouse Construction Priorities (Based on July 2014 Urgency Evaluation Score)										
				*FUNDING SUMMARY (\$M)						
District	Location	Project Description	July 2014 UE Score	Future Request/Unfunded		Previously Funded		Est.Total (Site/Design, Construction)	Site Acquired	Status (as of 4/2015)
				Site/Design	Construction	Site/Design	Construction			
District of South Carolina	Greenville, SC	New Courthouse	39.25	2.40	89.80	11.00	0.00	103.20	Yes	Design - 15%
Northern District of Alabama	Anniston, AL	New Courthouse	37.80	0.00	**41.00	4.40	0.00	45.40	Identified	Design - 0%
Southern District of Georgia	Savannah, GA	Courthouse Annex; Reno. Existing	37.15	0.00	95.50	12.70	0.00	108.20	Yes	Redesign - 0%
Western District of Texas	San Antonio, TX	New Courthouse	34.98	3.70	130.80	12.00	0.00	146.50	Yes	Design - 30%
Middle District of Pennsylvania	Harrisburg, PA	New Courthouse	31.91	0.00	160.80	26.00	0.00	186.80	Yes	Design - 20%
Middle District of Tennessee	Chattanooga, TN	New Courthouse	30.57	25.00	132.30	0.00	0.00	157.30	No	Unfunded
Eastern District of Virginia	Norfolk, VA	Courthouse Annex	20.24	12.00	104.70	19.80	0.00	136.50	Yes	Design - 0%
NOTES:										
* Funding estimates provided in Part II are programming estimates determined by GSA and are subject to change upon further development of design documents.										
** Includes design supplement and construction.										
- Judiciary courtroom sharing policies have been applied to the planning and design of all projects.										
- All projects have been assessed under the AMP process.										