Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

X. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this final rule in the Federal Register. This final rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 180.1210 is revised to read as follows:

§180.1210 Phosphorous acid; exemption from the requirement of a tolerance.

An exemption from the requirement of a tolerance is established for residues of phosphorous acid and its ammonium, sodium, and potassium salts in or on all food commodities when used as an agricultural fungicide and in or on potatoes when applied as a post-harvest treatment at 35,600 ppm or less phosphorous acid.
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SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) is amending the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR), by adding new requirements that address the use of other-than contract city pair airfares, the handling of receipts under the E-Gov Travel Service (ETS) environment, and new responsibilities for reviewing officials. This final rule also introduces and defines the term “online self-service booking tool” and provides for exceptions under certain circumstances to the required use of an agency’s current Travel Management Service (TMS) or ETS once the agency has fully deployed ETS. Finally, this final rule requires agencies to develop and submit upon request to the ETS Program Management Office, a plan for maximizing the agency’s adoption rate (i.e., achieving the highest possible rate of use of the agency’s online self-service booking tool) once the agency has fully deployed ETS. The explanation of changes is addressed in the supplementary information below. The FTR and any corresponding documents may be accessed at GSA’s Web site at http://www.gsa.gov/ftr.

DATES: Effective Date: September 22, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Regulatory Secretariat (VIR), Room 4035, GS Building, Washington, DC, 20405, (202) 208–7312, for information pertaining to status or publication schedules. For clarification of content, contact Ms. Umeki Gray Thorne, Office of Governmentwide Policy, Travel Management Policy, at (202) 208–7636. Please cite FTR Amendment 2006–04; FTR case 2005–305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This final rule amends the Federal Travel Regulation as follows:

• Newly redesignated section 301–10.106 language is revised by removing exceptions to the use of a contract city-pair fare and incorporating them into new section 301–10.107. Note to section 301–10.106 indicates that employees of the Government of the District of Columbia, with the exception of the District of Columbia Courts, are not eligible to use contract city-pair fares even though these employees otherwise may be covered by the FTR.
• New section 301–10.107 “Are there any exceptions to the use of a contract city-pair fare,” incorporates exceptions to use of a contract city-pair fare (formerly contained in section 301–10.107, redesignated as section 301–10.106) for agency consideration in deciding whether to approve the use of other-than a contract city-pair fare. Note 1 to section 301–10.107 (previously Note 2 to this section) is revised to state that any group of 10 or more passengers traveling together on the same day, on the same flight, for the same mission requiring group integrity and identified as a group by the travel management system upon booking, may request contract city-pair service on an optional basis.

Note 2 to section 301–10.107 is added to clarify that contractors are not eligible to use contract city-pair fares in the performance of their contract.

Note 3 to section 301–10.107 is added to encourage agencies to optimize savings from the contract city pair program by comparing the cost savings achieved by use of capacity-controlled coach class contract city-pair fares (MCA, QCA, VCA, etc.) to the unrestricted coach class contract fare (YCA), when capacity-controlled fares are available and meet mission needs.

• Section 301–10.108 is amended by informing travelers that they are required to document on their travel authorization the approval and use of a non-contract city-pair air fare. This section also adds a note to clarify that air carrier preference is not a valid reason for approving the use of a non-contract airfare.

• Section 301–11.25 is revised to address the handling of receipts when an agency has fully deployed ETS.

• The section heading for section 301–50.3 is revised to include the term “TMS” and references to exceptions are included in the text.

• Sections 301–50.4 is revised to add TMS in its section heading and to incorporate when an exception to the use of an agency’s current TMS may be granted.

• Section 50.6 is redesignated as section 50.8.
• New section 301–50.6 is added to define the term, “online self-service booking tool.”
• New section 301–50.7 is added to encourage travelers to use the agency’s online self-service booking tool in the ETS environment. A note is added to this section to describe when the use of an online self-service booking tool may not be feasible to use.
• Section 301–52.3 is amended by replacing the words “migrate(s) to” in the first and second sentences with the words “fully deploy(s)”.
• Section 301–71.201, paragraph (e), is amended to specify that “receipts, statements, justifications, etc.” include scanned electronic images of such documents when they are available under the ETS environment.

Section 301–73.101 is amended to require use of ETS with certain exceptions, and to require agencies to establish goals, a plan and procedures to maximize use of the online self-service booking tool for all travel arrangements once agencies have fully deployed ETS. This section also requires agencies to make its goals, plan, and procedures available to the ETS Program Management Office upon the request of the ETS Program Management Office.

The introductory paragraph in section 302–73.102 is revised to add TMS and conditions under which an agency may authorize an exception to use of the agency’s current TMS.

B. Executive Order 12866

This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under Section 6(b) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This final rule is not required to be published in the Federal Register for notice and comment; therefore, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., does not apply.

D. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the FTR do not impose recordkeeping or information collection requirements, or the collection of information from offerors, contractors, or members of the public that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

E. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This final rule is also exempt from congressional review prescribed under 5 U.S.C. 801 since it relates solely to agency management and personnel.


Government employees, Travel and transportation expenses.

Dated: March 9, 2006.

David L. Bibb, Acting Administrator of General Services.

Acting Administrator of General Services.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, under 5 U.S.C. 5701–5709, GSA amends 41 CFR parts 301–10, 301–11, 301–50, 301–52, 301–71, and 301–73 as set forth below:

CHAPTER 301—TEMPORARY DUTY (TDY) TRAVEL ALLOWANCES

PART 301–10—TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES

§ 301–10.106 When must I use a contract city-pair fare?

If you are a civilian employee of an agency as defined in § 301–1.1 of this chapter, you must always use a contract city-pair fare for scheduled air passenger transportation service unless one of the limited exceptions in § 301–10.107 exist. An Internet listing of contract city-pair fares is available at http://www.gsa.gov/citypairs.

Note to § 301–10.106: Employees of the Government of the District of Columbia, with the exception of the District of Columbia Courts, are not eligible to use contract city-pair fares even though these employees otherwise may be covered by the FTR.

§ 301–10.107 Are there any exceptions to the use of a contract city-pair fare?

Yes, your agency may authorize use of a fare other-than a contract city-pair fare when—

(a) Space on a scheduled contract flight is not available in time to accomplish the purpose of your travel, or use of contract service would require you to incur unnecessary overnight lodging costs which would increase the total cost of the trip;

(b) The contractor’s flight schedule is inconsistent with explicit policies of your Federal department or agency with regard to scheduling travel during normal working hours;

(c) A non-contract carrier offers a lower fare to the general public that, if used, will result in a lower total trip cost to the Government (the combined costs of transportation, lodging, meals, and related expenses considered);

Note to paragraph (c): This exception does not apply if the contract carrier offers the same or lower fare and has seats available at that fare, or if the fare offered by the non-contract carrier is restricted to Government and military travelers performing official business and may be purchased only with a contractor-issued charge card, centrally billed account (e.g., YDG, MDG, QDG, VDG, and similar fares) or GTR where the two previous options are not available;

(d) Cost effective rail service is available and is consistent with mission requirements; or

(e) Smoking is permitted on the contract air carrier and the nonsmoking section of the contract aircraft is not acceptable to you.

Note 1 to § 301–10.107: Any group of 10 or more passengers traveling together on the same day, on the same flight, for the same mission, requiring group integrity and identified as a group by the travel management system upon booking is not a mandatory user of the Government’s contract city-pair fares. For group travel, agencies are expected to obtain air passenger transportation service that is practical and cost effective to the Government.

Note 2 to § 301–10.107: Contractors are not authorized to use contract city-pair fares to perform travel under their contracts.

Note 3 to § 301–10.107: If the Government contract city-pair carrier offers a lower cost capacity-controlled coach class contract fare (MCA, QCA, VCA, etc.) in addition to the unrestricted coach class contract fares (YCA), the traveler should use the lower cost capacity-controlled fare when it is available and meet mission needs.

§ 301–10.108 What requirements must be met to use a non-contract fare?

(a) Before purchasing a non-contract fare you must meet one of the exception requirements listed in § 301–10.107 and show approval on your travel authorization to use a non-contract fare; and

(b) If the non-contract fare is non-refundable, restricted, or has specific eligibility requirements, you must know or reasonably anticipate, based on your
planned trip, that you will use the ticket; and
(c) Your agency must determine that the non-contract transportation is practical and cost effective for the Government.

Note to §301–10.108: Carrier preference is not a valid reason for using a non-contract fare.

PART 301–11—PER DIEM EXPENSES
§ 301–11.8 The authority citation for 41 CFR part 301–11 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5707.
§ 301–11.25 Must I provide receipts to substantiate my claimed travel expenses?
Yes. You must provide a lodging receipt and a receipt for every authorized expense over $75, or provide a reason acceptable to your agency explaining why you are unable to furnish the necessary receipt(s) (see §301–52.4 of this chapter).

Note to §301–11.25: Hard copy receipts should be electronically scanned and submitted with your electronic travel claim when your agency has fully deployed ETS and notifies you that electronic scanning is available within your agency (see §301–50.3 of this chapter). You may submit a hard copy receipt, in accordance with your agency’s policies, to support a claimed travel expense only when electronic imaging is not available within your agency.

PART 301–50—ARRANGING FOR TRAVEL SERVICES
§ 301–50.8 The authority citation for 41 CFR part 301–50 continues to read as follows:
§ 301–50.3 Must I use the ETS or TMS to arrange my travel?
* * * Your agency may grant an exception to required use of TMS/ETS under §§301–50.4, 301–73.102, or 301–73.104 of this chapter.

Note to section 301–50.3: Some extenuating circumstances for which you may not be able to use online self-service booking are (1) when you are attending a conference where the conference sponsor has negotiated with one or more lodging facilities to set aside a specific number of rooms for conference attendees and to ensure that a set aside room is available to you, you are required to book lodging directly with the lodging facility, (2) when your travel is to a remote location and it is not possible to book lodging accommodations through the TMS or ETS, or (3) when such travel arrangements are so complex and circumstance will not allow you to book your travel through an online self-service booking tool.

PART 301–52—CLAIMING REIMBURSEMENT
§ 301–52.13 The authority citation to part 301–52 continues to read as follows:
significant benefits once they achieve a 70 percent or greater self-booking rate.

19. Revise § 301–73.102 to read as follows:

§ 301–73.102 May we grant a traveler an exception from required use of TMS or ETS once we have fully deployed ETS within the agency?

(a) Yes, your agency head or his/her designee may grant an individual case by case exception to required use of your agency’s current TMS or required use of ETS once it is fully deployed within the agency, but only when travel meets one of the following conditions:

1. Such use would result in an unreasonable burden on mission accomplishment (e.g., emergency travel is involved and TMS/ETS is not accessible; the traveler is performing invitational travel; or the traveler has special needs or requires disability accommodations in accordance with part 301–13 of this chapter).

2. Such use would compromise a national security interest.

3. Such use might endanger the traveler’s life (e.g., the individual is traveling under the Federal witness protection program, or is a threatened law enforcement/investigative officer traveling under part 301–31 of this chapter).

(b) Any exception granted must be consistent with any contractual terms applicable to your current TMS or ETS, once it is fully deployed, and must not cause a breach of contract terms.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anh Wride, Office of Engineering and Technology, (202) 418–0577, e-mail: Anh.Wride@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Memorandum Opinion and Order, ET Docket No. 04–37, and ET Docket No. 03–104, adopted August 3, 2006 and released August 7, 2006. The full text of this document is available on the Commission’s Internet site at www.fcc.gov. It is also available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room CY–A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The full text of this document also may be purchased from the Commission’s duplication contractor, Best Copy and Printing Inc., Portals II, 445 12th St., SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554; telephone (202) 488–5300; fax (202) 488–5563; e-mail FCC@BCPWEB.COM.

Summary of the Memorandum Opinion and Order

1. In the Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Commission further amends part 15 of its rules regarding the unlicensed operation of Access broadband over power line (BPL) systems. Specifically, the rules are amended to change the exclusion zone requirement for the ten listed radio astronomy facilities to a consultation requirement, and to add a new exclusion zone for one Very Large Array (VLA) radio astronomy observatory site at 73.0–74.6 MHz. In addition, the Commission amends the rules to add prospective protection for relocated aeronautical facilities and to correct the coordinates and email contact for the aeronautical facilities subject to BPL consultation. The Commission affirms the July 7, 2006 deadline for requiring certification for any equipment manufactured, imported or installed on BPL systems, with the proviso that uncertified equipment already in inventory can be used for replacing defective units or to supplement equipment on existing systems for one year within areas already in operation. The Commission believes these changes will further the development and growth of BPL devices. The Commission denies the petitions for reconsideration in all other respects.

A. Notification to the Access BPL Database

2. In the Report and Order, 70 FR 1360, January 7, 2005, in this proceeding, the Commission adopted a requirement that the Access BPL industry establish a publicly accessible database for system information. Under this requirement, entities operating Access BPL systems must provide to the BPL industry designated database manager certain information on BPL installations 30 days prior to the initiation of any operation or service. The BPL industry requested elimination of this 30-day advance notification.

3. The Commission denied this request. It stated that the purpose of the database notification requirement is to ensure that licensed users of the spectrum have a publicly accessible and centralized source of information on BPL operations to determine whether there may be Access BPL operations on particular frequencies within their local area so that any incident of harmful interference can be resolved should it occur. The Commission noted that the BPL public database serves a unique function to identify the location and operating characteristics of BPL systems to entities other than those entitled to advance notification. The Commission however provided several clarifications regarding the notification process.

B. Transition Period

4. The rules adopted in the Report and Order require that all Access BPL devices that are manufactured, imported, marketed or installed 18 months or later after the Federal Register publication of the Report and Order, i.e., after July 7, 2006, must comply with the newly adopted requirements of Subpart C of part 15 for BPL devices, including certification of the equipment. The BPL industry