Green Building Certification Systems Review

Bryan Steverson
GSA’s Office of Federal High-Performance Green Buildings

Presented to Green Building Advisory Committee
May 1, 2013
Agenda

Introduction and Purpose

- GBCS Review Report

Project Update

- Federal Register Notice
- Key Concepts
- Comment Summary

Next Steps

Sections 433(a) and 436(h)

• Identification of “a green building certification system... determine[d] to be most likely to encourage a comprehensive and environmentally sound approach to certification of green buildings” in the federal sector

• Provide a recommendation to Secretary of Energy
GBCS Review Report

GSA commissioned green building certification system evaluation

• Prepared by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)
• Published March 2012
• Evaluation does not contain recommendations; simply reports findings
• Available at: http://www.gsa.gov/gbcertificationreview
Initial Screening Criteria

“Whole building”, availability in U.S. markets, & third-party certification

GBCS Review Report

Green Building Initiative’s Green Globes

U.S. Green Building Council’s Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED)

International Living Building Institute’s Living Building Challenge
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Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group

• Co-chaired by GSA, DoD & DOE

• Worked through a set of related questions and issues around
  – Interrelationships among green building certification systems and green building code-compliant standards for new construction;
  – Federal high performance building design, construction and operations requirements;
  – Metrics to inform building performance tracking and reporting;
  – How high performance in buildings can reduce the total cost of ownership; and
  – The appropriate role of green building certification systems in advancing high performance buildings in the Federal sector
GBCS Listening Sessions

- GSA hosted two public listening sessions
- First listening session: June 25th, 2012
- Second listening session: July 10th, 2012
Project Update

• Since the last Green Building Advisory Committee meeting in November:

  – February 5, 2013: notice published in Federal Register (Notice-MG-2012-04)
    • Seeking public comment over a period of 60 days on the key findings from the Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group
  
    • April 8, 2013: Public comment period closed

  – Public comments and deliberations of Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group will be used to better inform GSA’s recommendations to the Secretary of Energy
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Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group

Key Concepts

1. Use of green building certification systems saves the government resources
   – Eliminates the cost to the Government of developing its own standards
   – Furthers policy of reliance on the private sector to supply Government needs for goods and services
Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group

Key Concepts

2. Agencies should select the green building certification system that best suits its mission and portfolio needs
   - Guidance should be developed that identifies specific credits/points that all agencies should focus on when seeking certification
   - Agencies should be encouraged to only use one certification system at the agency or service level
Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group

Key Concepts

3. Federal sector should formalize a process to maintain currency with evolution of green building certification systems and underlying standards

- Should automatically adopt newest version of standard or green building certification system within one year after it is finalized unless there is an overt decision not to adopt the latest version

- Representatives from major Federal real property portfolio holders should convene to review any updated certification systems

- GSA should track the evolution of green building certification systems and standards and work with DOD and DOE, and other agencies as appropriate, to review changes and propose any necessary Federal response
4. Federal government should strategically engage with green building certification system owners to develop better alignment with Federal green building requirements and agency needs while continuing the Federal government’s role in market leadership.
Comment Summary

- Public comment period: February 5 – April 8, 2013
  - Over 400 comments received from 162 stakeholders
  - Academic, Construction, Design, Government, Non-profit, and trade associations
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Comment Summary
Key Concept 1

Use of green building certification systems saves the government resources

– Eliminates the cost to the Government of developing its own standards
– Furthers policy of reliance on the private sector to supply Government needs for goods and services

COMMENTS:
– General agreement: 3rd party rating systems provide more value over any system the government could develop

• Some disagreed - suggested Government develop separate system

– 1/3 of responses had concerns about identifying any one system if it didn’t completely align with the Government’s requirements

• Of these - about half suggested identifying multiple systems
Agencies should select the green building certification system that best suits its mission and portfolio needs

- Guidance should be developed that identifies specific credits/points that all agencies should focus on when seeking certification

- Agencies should be encouraged to only use one certification system at the agency or service level

**COMMENTS:**

- Most agreed GSA should choose a 3rd party certification system
  - Mixed comments on identifying any “one” system

- Most suggested allowing individual agencies choose the system that fits their project

- Some suggestions the Government should choose one system that fits most of the Federal requirements and identify ways to meet the gaps
Comment Summary

Key Concept 2 continued

Agencies should select the green building certification system that best suits its mission and portfolio needs

- Guidance should be developed that identifies specific credits/points that all agencies should focus on when seeking certification

- Agencies should be encouraged to only use one certification system at the agency or service level

COMMENTS:
- Many disagreed with mandatory credits / points agencies should focus on
  - Agencies should be given flexibility in what credits and points to focus on
  - Government should establish performance objectives as prerequisites in lieu of credit/point prerequisites

- Most disagreed - agencies should be encouraged to use one certification system at agency or service level
  - Too restrictive and costly to use only one system
  - Government benefits most when competition among rating systems leads to improved building performance
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Agencies should select the green building certification system that best suits its mission and portfolio needs

- Guidance should be developed that identifies specific credits/points that all agencies should focus on when seeking certification

- Agencies should be encouraged to only use one certification system at the agency or service level

**COMMENTS:**

- Those that agreed felt it should be an agency decision to use one system and that this decision should reflect their portfolio needs

- Several suggested that in its deliberations GSA consider:
  - ASHRAE Standard 189.1
  - International Green Construction Code
  - Green Building Initiative’s Guiding Principles Compliance Assessment Program
  - Building component rating systems (e.g. roofing systems, plumbing, green cleaning)
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Federal sector should formalize process to maintain currency with evolution of certification systems and underlying standards

- Should automatically adopt newest version of standard or green building certification system within one year after it is finalized unless an overt decision not to adopt
- Representatives from Federal real property portfolio holders should convene to review any updated certification systems
- GSA should track the evolution of green building certification systems and standards and work with DOD and DOE, and other agencies as appropriate, to review changes and propose any necessary Federal response

COMMENTS:
- General agreement major Federal real property portfolio holders should routinely meet to review updates to green building certification systems
  - A few suggested including private sector representatives to the group review
- Majority agreed Government should adopt updates to standards / certification systems without delay
  - Most wanted thorough review prior to adoption – much like current review process
- General agreement that GSA should track evolution of certification systems and work with DOD, DOE, and other agencies to review changes and propose any necessary Federal response
Federal government should strategically engage with green building certification system owners to develop better alignment with Federal green building requirements and agency needs while continuing the Federal government’s role in market leadership.

COMMENTS:

- General agreement government should engage with certification system owners to improve alignment of systems with Federal requirements.

- Suggested the Federal government be “at the table” with others from the private sector to ensure its needs are being met by system owners:
  - Private sector needs should not be minimized by government requirements.
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Other comments

– View that domestic wood is being discriminated through LEED

– Product treatment within green building certification systems

– Consensus-based development of certification systems
Next Steps

• GSA analyzing public comments and findings of Interagency Ad-hoc Discussion Group

• GSA developing a set of recommendations

• Letter with recommendations to be sent from GSA Administrator sent to Secretary of Energy
Questions?