REVIEWER'S APPRAISAL ANALYSIS (For use by Staff Appraiser in Reviewing Appraisal Reports)								11	N-LE		QUISITIO	DN TRANSFER					
APPRAISER					FEE				U OUT-LEASE DISPOSAL TYPE OF PRIORITY DISPOSAL								
CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT DATE					\$ TIME ALLOWED			NAME AND ADDRESS OF PROPERTY									
EFFEC																	
REVIE					APPRAISED VALUE CASE NO. \$		CASE NO.										
						NST	RUC										
		Items 1 thro Explain all r	ugh 45 are provided a legative answers on re E - Excellent - A - Adequate - I - Inadequate -	everse (F Meets o Meets r	Part N or ex minir	VIII). kcee mum	Coi ds s nee	nment f pecificat eds. Clar	ully c tions rifica	n inadequacies a	able.	nendations.					
		ITEM			ΕA		Ι			ITEM			E	Α			
II. DELINE- ATION OF ASSIGN.	1.	CONFORMANCE TO GSA SPECIFICATIONS (As format, inclusion and sequence of all significant items)		to				₽Û	E .								
								III. ANALYSIS AND TECHNIQUE (Cont.)	30. 31.			CURRENT MARKET					
	2.	AREA, CITY, NEIGHBORH	_				QUE	32.					-	-			
	3.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION INC	NO				ANA	33.			FERING TO SUBJECT		-				
	4.	PROPERTY DESCRIPTIO ADAPTABLE USE					TEO.	34.			AND DIFFERENCE STIFICATION		-				
	5.	INSURANCE AND TAX LOAD: PLANS, PHOTOS						RY F	35.	DESCRIPTION A	ND CONDI	TION					
		AND MAPS						MEN H	36.			<i></i>		<u> </u>			
	6.	CERTIFICATION-STANDARD CLAUSES, SIGNATURE AND DATE						 MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT 	37. 38.	VALUE FOR IN-P	PLACE USE (If applicable) F-SITE USE ""		\vdash	-	<u> </u>		
	7.	PURPOSE, INCLUDING DEFINITION OF VALUE						≥ ¤	39.					-	-		
	8.	HIGHEST AND BEST USE-FOR LAND-FOR TOTA PROPERTY		L					40.	LOGICAL RELAT	IONSHIP TO	O COMPARABLES					
	9.	STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS			-			AIR	41.	BASED ON INTE (If applicable)	REST PLUS	CAPITAL RECAPTURE					
		LAND VALUE BY COMPARISON						V. Fair Rental	42.	DOES ESTIMATE	EREFLECT						
III. ANALYSIS AND TECHNIQUE	10.	ADEQUATE LISTINGS.								CONTEMPLATE	DLEASE	YES NO					
	11.	DATE AND CONDITIONS OF EACH SALE						UE ORR	43.	CORRELATION (TES					
	12.	SAME LIST ADJUSTED TO CURRENT MARKET						ALCOF	44.	THE APPROACH							
	13.	SIMILARITIES AND DIFFE	AND DIFFERENCES WITH SUBJE					⋛	45.	VALUE CONCLU	SION						
	14.	LOGICAL CONCLUSION											YE	<u>s</u> I	NO		
		MAP SHOWING COMPARABLES LAND RESIDUAL TECHNIQUE (If used LOGICAL CONCLUSION							46.	IS THE APPRAISAL PROBLE			<u> </u>	+			
				d)					47.	DESCRIBED?							
	C.	COST APPROACH						48.			OFITABLE USE OF THE D FOR BASIS OF VALUE?						
		COST ESTIMATES	TION-PHYSICAL					SS	49.					+			
		DEPRECIATION-PHYSICA DEPRECIATION-FUNCTIO			-			EFFECTIVENESS	50.			Y RELATED TO		-			
		DEPRECIATION-ECONOM						CTIV		SUPPORTING D			<u> </u>	+			
		LAND VALUE ADDED?											<u> </u>	+			
		SUMMATION VALUE INCOME APPROACH GROSS ANNUAL RENT BY COMPARISON								AS A BASIS FOR							
								OVERALL	53.				\vdash	+			
		VACANCY AND CREDIT L						OVE		FOR FEE PAYME			\vdash	+			
		EXPENSE AND FIXED CHARGES INCLUDING RESERVES FOR REPLACEMENT NET ANNUAL INCOME FROM RENT			\vdash	-	-	Ĭ				REPORT:	\vdash	+			
	26.				-				55.	DOES THE APPF		ANEL RATING SEEM					
		METHOD OF CAPITALIZATION			\vdash					APPROPRIATE?			\vdash	+			
	28.	CAPITALIZATION DATE - JUSTIFIED			\vdash				56.	WOULD YOU RE OTHER SIMILAR		THIS APPRAISER FOR ENTS?					
	29.	VALUE				1		1									

VIII. REVIEWER'S COMMENTS