

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

The following NAP 5 co-creation comments were submitted via GSA's Touchpoint application beginning on June 7, 2022, to support the co-creation process. Inactive website links have been removed, and individual commenters' contact details have been omitted to protect privacy.

Comment Count:

#	Area	Responses
1	Research	6
2	Problem Statements	4
3	Community Outreach	4
4	Commitment Recommendation	3
5	Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation	6
Total:		26

Comments:

Research

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-06-07 15:17:40 UTC

Name: Chris Raleigh

Organization: The Center for Election Science

Comment: Our democracy is succeeding in one regard - more voices are running than ever before. Through analysis done at the Center for Election Science, we've seen an influx in the number of candidates running for Congress. From 2010 to 2021, there were 485 elections that produced a new member of Congress. Those 485 races attracted 2,583 candidates.

Undoubtedly, more candidates mean more voices. It is something an inclusive democracy should be proud of. Yet, if we want to encourage and make room for these voices, we have a problem.

More candidates lead to vote-splitting, or the phenomenon of similar candidates "stealing" votes from each other. It is an issue that communities are keenly aware of. Like St. Louis, MO, which in 2017 saw 4 black candidates split the vote for mayor of the majority black city, with a white candidate winning with just 32% support.

Look at any primary for a large city or open seat in Congress and you will see that the influx of candidates yourself. In 2010 the average number of candidates in a contested primary was 5.4, in 2020 it was 7.4. More candidates also means 40%, 35% or even 30% of the primary vote is enough to win. Winning with so little support (a majority voting against you) dissolves trust in democratic rule, and aids extremist candidates.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

It doesn't have to be this way. We believe we can strengthen our democracy, restore public trust, and empower voters through voting equally for all the candidates you like, also called approval voting. It's a free voting method implemented successfully in Fargo, ND and St. Louis, MO. In 2021, with approval voting, Tishaura who got 30% of the vote in 2017, Jones won with 58% approval. We can fix vote-splitting and ensure a strong, inclusive democracy for generations with a simple tweak. Please read our analysis here and reach out with any questions.

<https://electionscience.org/>

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-06-27 22:10:55 UTC

Name: Nada Zohdy

Organization: Open Gov Hub

Comment: This report I authored last year outlines 5 key ingredients for a robust co-creation process in the eyes of many civil society leaders:

<https://www.opengovpartnership.org/events/seize-the-moment-how-to-revive-the-us-ogp-process/>

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 04:13:22 UTC

Name: Stephen Buckley

Organization: Int'l. Assn. for Public Participation (U,S, chapter)

Comment: Back in 2009, thousands of people made suggestions .. and rated them as well .. but there was no acknowledgement of any of them .. at all (!) .. from the Obama administration afterwards. Many are still relevant .. maybe even more so than in 2009.

Stephen Buckley

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 05:47:04 UTC

Name: Reilly Martin

Organization: Open Contracting Partnership

Comment: General government procurement accounted for 9.62% of the U.S. GDP in 2019 and 13% worldwide (stats.oecd.org). This spending can change social outcomes and help the U.S. build back better, but requires national commitments and actions to do so.

Focusing on some of the administration's priorities:

- - Only about 5% of U.S. federal governments are going to women owned business (<https://bidenwhitehouse.archives.gov/cea/written-materials/2021/12/01/the-benefits-of-increased-equity-in-federal-contracting/>)
- Government purchases worldwide are responsible for 15% of all greenhouse gas emissions (bcg.com/press/13january2022-green-government-procurement-practices-cut-global-emissions)

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Contracting data is published by the U.S. government, but there is room for improvement. Based on a 2020 survey by GAO 92% of federal managers surveyed didn't even know about USAspending.gov (gao.gov/products/gao-22-104127). Many also found it hard to navigate and use the data. Government and civil society need to work together to increase adoption of more user-friendly technology and processes, open data, and outcomes-based contracting. These select ideas and reports provide insight on how to get started:

- OFPP Innovates: <https://ofpp.ideascalegov.com>
- A Procurement Path to Equity: Strategies for Government and the Business Ecosystem (open-contracting.org/resources/procurement-equity/)
- Culture, Collaboration, and Capital: Leveraging Procurement for Economic Equity (livingcities.org/)
- Towards Gender Balance in Public Procurement: Understanding the Barriers and Solutions to Include Women-Led Businesses (open-contracting.org/resources/towards-gender-balance-in-public-procurement/)
- Toolkit on Implementing Open and Sustainable Public Procurement
- Gender Equality and Social Inclusion in Public Procurement Qualitative and Quantitative Frameworks (oxfordinsights.com/gesiqualityframework)

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-07-05 15:19:29 UTC

Name: Alex Howard

Organization:

Comment: This letter to President Biden for transparency commitments for the Summit for Democracy reflects the priorities of an open government coalition:

First, the U.S. government must address the creation of and reliance upon secret law embodied in final legal opinions issued by the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel (OLC). Specifically, the administration should commit to proactively disclose all final legal opinions to the maximum extent possible, and in those unusual circumstances where the opinions cannot be proactively disclosed, the government should publish a list of the opinions.

Second, the U.S. government must reinvigorate its commitment to improving its implementation of the Freedom of Information Act. Specifically, agencies must procure better technology to facilitate expeditious review of FOIA requests and publication of the answers as open government data, adopt the presumption of openness, and allocate more resources and staff to clear backlogs while simultaneously requesting further support from Congress.

Third, the U.S. Government must improve transparency around federal spending, building on the reforms and infrastructure developed over the past two decades. Trillions of dollars of pandemic aid have gone out, and more than a trillion dollars in infrastructure spending is now starting to move into implementation as well. The government must increase the reliability and the level of granularity of data concerning federal spending.

https://s3.amazonaws.com/demandprogress/letters/Letter_on_White_House_Transparency_Commitments_for_the_Summit_for_Democracy_That_Relate_To_the_Rule_of_Law_2022-06-17.pdf

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Area: Research

Date/Time: 2022-08-03 18:12:26 UTC

Name: Katie Steen

Organization: SPARC

Comment: <https://www.unesco.org/en/open-science>

<https://www.uksg.org/newsletter/uksg-enews-511/budapest-open-access-initiative-20th-anniversary-recommendations#:~:text=The%20Budapest%20Open%20Access%20Initiative%20celebrated%20its%2020th%20anniversary%20on,and%20regions%20of%20the%20world.>

<https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/25116/open-science-by-design-realizing-a-vision-for-21st-century>

Problem Statements

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-06-15 14:44:35 UTC

Name: Alexander B. Howard

Organization: The Digital Democracy Project

Comment: Problem: Members of Congress proposed fundamentally weakening the Freedom of Information Act: <https://www.axios.com/2022/06/14/foia-ban-foreign-senate-bill>

The Justice Department & the White House OMB should commit to opposing regressive FOIA reforms, & POTUS should make it clear he'd veto them.

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-06-27 22:16:00 UTC

Name: Nada Zohdy

Organization: Open Gov Hub

Comment: How can we make the use of algorithms for decisionmaking within government in various more transparent and accountable to the public, to avoid bias and uphold fairness and integrity?

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 04:33:49 UTC

Name: Stephen Buckley

Organization: Int'l. Assn. for Public Participation (U,S, chapter)

Comment: Government workers can't improve existing #OpenGov systems .. because it is not safe to point out what is missing.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Citizens can't participate in public meetings .. unless they are alerted to the ones that affect them personally.

Public meetings ... some suck .. and some don't. But how do you build evidence for "better practices" .. unless you start collecting data from the 'users', i.e, the people attending those meetings?

P.S. On that last one, I think it was for the OpenGov Action Plan 2.0 that I suggested the need for "metrics" to evaluate progress, and it was then included, but virtually nothing was done to comply with that commitment. It's only now (finally) that OMB is promoting such exit-surveys. Want to know more about that?

Stephen Buckley

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 05:49:02 UTC

Name: Reilly Martin

Organization: Open Contracting Partnership

Comment: Spending on public infrastructure this summer in the United States is heating up. However, the federal government still lacks the ability to manage and oversee it

(federalnewsnetwork.com/agency-oversight/2022/06/infrastructure-spending-could-outrun-the-ability-to-oversee-it/).

More must be done to get infrastructure spending right from the outset. What's wrong with infrastructure procurement is more fundamental than reporting, and goes to the heart of how infrastructure projects are planned and delivered and how contracts are awarded.

The good news is that there are already examples of effective ways to better plan and spend money on infrastructure. Here are our top five recommendations for improving U.S. infrastructure spending (with more detail here:

open-contracting.org/2022/06/22/building-smarter-and-better-infrastructure-in-the-u-s-through-open-contracting):

1. Focus on planning and vendor engagement.
2. Require better, more consistent award descriptions.
3. Improve post-award and subcontracting reporting.
4. Add specific reporting requirements around spending goals such as sustainability and equity.

Neither the U.S. National Strategy on Gender Equity and Equality nor the Government-Wide Strategic Plan to Advance Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Accessibility in the Federal Workforce have quantitative public goals on contracting, which could be established as part of the national action plan.

5. Make it easier to track beneficial ownership of companies.

The national action plan can help deliver on some of these improvements while also doubling down on commitments from the Summit for Democracy on promoting competition, fighting corruption, and combating misinformation by ensuring better and more transparent contracting data.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-08-03 18:10:59 UTC

Name: Katie Steen

Organization: SPARC

Comment: The public invests more than \$80 billion each year in basic and applied research, yet paywalls continue to limit access to the articles and data that result from this research. This problem was highlighted at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, when governments around the world had to ask publishers to temporarily lift these paywalls, so that researchers, doctors, and public health professionals could access and analyze new knowledge about the coronavirus in order to more quickly develop effective tests, treatments, and vaccines. And yet, as the pandemic continues, many coronavirus-related research papers remain inaccessible - having never been made available, or having been re-paywalled. Perhaps more critically, research articles and data for many other diseases and pressing scientific challenges also remain locked behind paywalls. The dissemination processes that underpin our science and technology ecosystem do not maximize federal investments for all Americans, nor do they adequately promote transparency, participation, or trust in science. Many legacy publishing systems further entrench inequities by restricting who can be involved in scientific research and have access to its results.

Open government commitments that empower scientists to make research immediately available and fully reusable can address these problems. The U.S. has a timely opportunity to institute a whole-of-government policy to make all federally-funded research results immediately and openly available. This policy will promote greater equity by broadening participation in and access to research. It will increase transparency, improving the public's trust in government-funded research. This policy is both feasible and responsive to decades of demand from the public and policymakers, all calling on the federal government to improve the public good through barrier-free access to government-funded research. This commitment would also align with the administration's open science efforts.

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-08-10 18:47:59 UTC

Name: Jennryn Wetzler

Organization: Creative Commons

Comment: The USG needs improved policies to maximize access to federally funded resources. Under current grant and contract policies, most federally funded resources are not freely and openly available to the public that funded them. Some agencies have public access grant policies, but the language is often non-specific, subject to significant interpretation, and therefore less effective.

To maximize the positive impact of public funding, transparency, and government accountability to US taxpayers, federal agencies should adopt open licensing requirements on resources created with federal grant and contract funds. This means requiring standard, international open copyright licenses on content (e.g., research, educational resources, etc.), open source licenses on software, and public domain dedications for datasets. Open licenses unlock the maximum potential of publicly funded resources for reuse and innovation; they foster more equitable access to the resources.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Creative Commons (CC) proposes that federal agencies (1) further their federal colleagues' commitments to open licensing in the Federal Open Licensing Playbook, developed for the Third National Action Plan: <https://2009-2017.state.gov/r/pa/prs/ps/2017/01/267125.htm>; and (2) create, adopt and implement open licensing requirements on all non-national security related resources produced with federal funds. For open access to publicly funded research, CC recommends federal agencies add a default requirement for grantees to apply the CC BY license on articles and other content, and the CCO public domain dedication on research data, with no embargo period.

Legally opening access to federally funded knowledge allows the public to better use resources their taxes support.

Having offered open licensing legal expertise, training and assistance to the U.S. Departments of Labor, Education, State and USAID, CC stands ready to assist any agency working toward this critical framework to open government resources.

Area: Problem Statements

Date/Time: 2022-11-16 22:38:15 UTC

Name: Kevin L. Bromberg

Organization: Bromberg Regulatory Strategy LLC

Comment: Federal agencies need to improve the transparency and robustness of rulemaking alternatives being considered by the agencies.

There is a need for additional structure to the pre-proposal input process to ensure that the public is advised of all alternatives that achieve the statutory purposes, and the related costs and benefits of those alternatives. The agencies need to provide enough underlying evidence and data to allow informed review and comment by the public. Furthermore, agencies need to consider a range of alternatives that are feasible, legal and practicable to achieve statutory goals.

Community Outreach

Area: Community Outreach

Date/Time: 2022-06-27 22:17:42 UTC

Name: Nada Zohdy

Organization: Open Gov Hub

Comment: Sunrise - the youth climate movement, Open Gov Hub member organizations, The Climate Justice Alliance, The Movement for Black Lives, ACLU, Wikimedia Foundation and the RightsCon community, RepresentUs

Area: Community Outreach

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 04:08:36 UTC

Name: Stephen Buckley

Organization: Int'l. Assn. for Public Participation (U.S, chapter)

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Comment: Lots of people from #OpenGov groups have been forgotten, but still exist, and can be found here:

<https://groups.google.com/g/us-open-government/c/GgbquAZkTks/m/ysPwS75qBgAJ>

Stephen Buckley,

Area: Community Outreach

Date/Time: 2022-06-28 05:44:51 UTC

Name: Reilly Martin

Organization: Open Contracting Partnership

Comment: We encourage open invitation for the public to participate in this OGP NAP co-creation process. While specific ideas on who to engage have been provided below it's also important to recognize that there may be groups looking to be a part of the process, but not knowing how to get involved. Outside of word of mouth, one of the few ways to know about or track this process is through the U.S. open government listserv, which isn't referenced on the U.S. OGP website.

It would be helpful to also see a list of people and organizations who are actively participating in the co-creation process and/or have been consulted to know who else to recommend.

We'd be happy to brainstorm additional civil society partners for specific, anticipated commitment or policy areas once we have more insight from the federal government/OGP, but ideas for now:

- Non-federal government agencies particularly OGP local contacts. OCP runs a LinkedIn group for people who work and/or interested in good government contracting and many of whom are non-federal government employees: <https://www.linkedin.com/groups/9015153/>
- Federal vendors and contractors,
- Federal staff, many of whom also don't know what OGP is or that there's a new national action plan coming
- Working groups for the Summit for Democracy, Summit for Americas, and upcoming global events hosted by the U.S. federal government
- And, select civil society organizations not seen on planning calls to-date:
 - American Bar Association
 - Anti Corruption Data Collective
 - AspenDigital
 - Benefits Data Trust
 - Center for Good Food Purchasing
 - Center for Government Excellence at Johns Hopkins Univ.
 - CERES
 - Full Frame Initiative/The Wellbeing Blueprint
 - George Washington Univ. Law School - Government Procurement Law Program
 - Global Infrastructure Hub
 - GovLab at NYU Tandon
 - Levin Center for Oversight and Democracy
 - Living Cities
 - Nowak Metro Finance Lab
 - Results for America

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

- UCLA Institute for Technology Law & Policy
 - U.S. Chamber of Commerce
 - United States Digital Response
-

Area: Community Outreach

Date/Time: 2022-07-07 20:31:01 UTC

Name: Nate Lash

Organization: Consensus Building Institute (CBI)

Comment: Citizens are increasingly demanding opportunities to have a voice in decisions that affect their lives. More than any time in the past 50 years, leaders in government, business, and nonprofits are being called upon to provide forums for their constituencies to provide input and seek influence.

The Consensus Building Institute (CBI), founded in 1993, is a nationally and internationally recognized not-for-profit organization with decades of experience helping leaders collaborate to solve complex problems related to public participation and civic engagement. Our staff are experts in facilitation, mediation, capacity building, citizen engagement, and organizational strategy and development. Among the projects where CBIs leadership and expertise in citizen engagement have yielded strong results are:

- Eliciting and clarifying citizen priorities for a 21st-century transportation system in Massachusetts through a series of interactive statewide workshops, and contributing to the state's legislative and executive decision-making on new transportation policies and investments
- Reaching out to a wide range of stakeholders on what is important to individuals, local communities, and national visitors as the Bureau of Land Management and the U.S. Forest Service develop a management plan that integrates divergent goals for Browns Canyon National Monument in Colorado
- Facilitating public forums across New England to provide citizen input into how to develop shared data and methods, and coordinate effectively among federal and state agencies for wide-ranging uses of U.S. territorial waters in the northeast Atlantic
- Designing a state-wide process for New Hampshire citizens to weigh in on improving the state's energy siting process for the legislature, leading to the passage of a new widely-supported energy siting law

We are very interested in connecting and supporting the U.S. National Action Plan for Open Government co-creation process.

Commitment Recommendation

Area: Commitment Recommendation

Date/Time: 2022-11-26 10:43:02 UTC

Name: Scott Peterson

Organization: Global Youth Justice, Inc.

Commitment Theme: Countering corruption and ensuring government integrity and accountability to the public

Comment: Release the Scores and Rankings of Competitive Federal Grants across ALL Federal Agencies.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Solving a problem: The public does not get to see if their tax payer dollars are being fairly awarded. We all know this is a problem, and we all know the

Demand: Huge FOIA Requests occur for comments as that is all that is available.

Feasibility: Tax payer funds are used to rank and score the competitive Federal grants so the scores and rankings are available.

Alignment: This would be huge to release this.

Openness: Most definitely.

I am the only person in the federal government to ever expose this on ABC Worldwide News. My linkedin jumped from 2,000 to 25,000 followers in less than 30 days, and I received more than 2,000+ thank you messages.

Here it is on ABC

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gHqRH4Cx8A8>

Area: Commitment Recommendation

Date/Time: 2022-12-02 01:13:52 UTC

Name: Robert Hammond

Organization: N/A

Commitment Theme: 4 Moot Appellate Determinations 25 NARA FOIA & Financial Malfeasance \$789730 + Alteration of Records and Loss of Public Trust 26 NARA FY 2022 Data Stripped From FOIA.gov

Comment: # Hammond Public Comments. Document Cloud Alphabetical
(25 per page on web, not alphabetical)

<https://www.documentcloud.org/app?q=%2Buser%3Arobert-hammond-106693%20FOIAcompliance@gmail.gov>

1. 2022- 2024 FOIA Advisory Committee Bylaws - Recommended Changes
2. 2022- 2024 FOIA Advisory Committee Inaugural Meeting. DOJ OIP OGIS Grossly Underfunded
3. All Panelist Chat to June 9 2022 FOIA Advisory Committee Meeting. OGIS DOJ Funding + Miss
4. DOD FOIA Misconduct Part IV. FY 2018 Appeals Disappeared + DOJ OIP & OGIS Underfunded, Thus Ineffective
5. DOD FOIA Misconduct Part V. Navy FY 2018 Appeals Dispositions Bases Not Reported
6. DOD Massive False FOIA Reporting. Part 1. Letter to SECDEF, Complaint to DOJ OIG
7. DOD MASSIVE FALSE REPORTING PART II + Still Interested Abuse. Updated July 27, 2022
8. DOD Massive False Reporting Part III. 5-year Late Acknowledgements Unusual Circumstances Abuse +
9. DOD Cites Change to CFR 32 CFR part 286.4 as Unlawful Basis for Omitting OGIS Mediation Rights
10. DOJ OIP (Inaccurate) CFO Report Assessment 2022
11. DOJ OIP Compliance Inquiries
12. DOJ OIP Improper and Unlawful Standard Glomar Responses With Implicit (b)(1) & (b)(7)
13. Failure - FOIA Compliance Oversight & Funding. Part 2. No Joking Matter
14. FOIA Advisory Committee December 1, 2022 Meeting Hammond Comments

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

15. FOIA Line-Item Budgets Now. Let the citizens be heard. Retire FOIA Bob.
16. FOIAonline - Recommended System Changes
17. Foreseeable Harm Standard Vanita Gupta. November 3, 2022 CFO Meeting
18. Foreseeable Harm Standard. DOJ OIP Misinformation + Navy Misconduct and Idiocy?
19. Hammond FOIA Public Comments Posted to Document Cloud
20. Hammond Questions Comments for September 8 2022 Meeting. OGIS and DOJ OIP Lack of Funding w.attach1
21. Hammond Recommendations and Chat Comments to 4.7.2022 FOIA Advisory Committee Meeting
22. HOT! Semo OGIS. Budget Numbers do not Comport w. NARA Published Budgets!
23. Individualized Tracking Numbers. NARA Fraud. Hatch Act Violations

Area: Commitment Recommendation

Date/Time: 2022-12-02 21:17:44 UTC

Name: Sara Hayden

Organization: The University of Chicago - Health Lab

Commitment Theme: Engaging the public in the work of government

Comment: Transform911 envisions a 911 system that equitably and reliably increases access to well-being for those who need emergency assistance, the professionals who staff 911, and those deployed to respond.

Recommendations:

- (Re)Introducing 911 - Everyone knows the number, almost no one knows how it works.
- Putting the People in 911- Community partnership is essential to a 911 system that works for everyone
- Advancing the Workforce - There's no 911 without a recognized, supported, professional workforce.
- Making 911 Independent and Equal - ECCs that are independent and equal to other local first response systems help improve equitable outcomes.
- Ensuring the Right Response at the Right Time - A diverse ecosystem of responses reduces reliance on the police by default.
- Strengthening Data and Tech Standards - Reliable and ethical data and tech improves responses.
- Securing National-Level Support (for 911) - Effective, equitable emergency response locally requires unequivocal support federally.

Research Agenda:

- How many people are calling 911, and what are they calling about? There is a need for comprehensive national estimates to answer this question and inform all subsequent research questions.
- What do we know about the implementation of new call-coding standards and the degree to which they are followed with fidelity?
- What is the prevalence and impact of bias by proxy, passed from caller to call taker to responding officer, and how does it inform officer action?
- Where are the alternative strategies proposed by our recommendations currently being implemented? Evaluate those pilots and programs in sites that vary in size and demographics to improve cross-contextual understanding.

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

- Conduct surveys of 911 professionals to understand challenges and develop new career progression and retention strategies.
- Develop a living system for digesting data in a standardized way for later AI analysis.

Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-03-09 19:31:23 UTC

Name: Dan Hon

Organization: Very Little Gravitass

Comment: Hello,

I would like to be updated / notified when this pilot project launches. Thank you!

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-03-21 21:40:18 UTC

Name: Jamica El

Organization:

Comment: Would like to be notified on updates to this page.

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-07-10 15:54:13 UTC

Name: Noel Hidalgo

Organization: BetaNYC

Comment: We believe that the federal government should support two types of local civic engagement initiatives to foster public interest technology as a career path while helping local communities better collect data. These two ideas are represented in the work BetaNYC does. Through their Civic Innovation Fellows (CIF), they expose public university students to the diversity of public interest technology initiatives and employment pathways. Through BetaNYC's mapping for equity, CIF and the general public build a tangible relationship to open data by mapping their public spaces in OpenStreetMap. Together, these programs help guide New Yorkers into concrete and tangible ways data can inform public administration and provide for a more inclusive government.

<https://beta.nyc/programs/civic-innovation-fellows>

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-07-12 15:37:04 UTC

Name: Suzanne J. Piotrowski

Organization: Transparency and Governance Center, School of Public Affairs and Administration, Rutgers University

NAP 5 Touchpoint Survey Responses

Comment: I would like to stay in touch. Looks like a great project.

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-07-12 15:37:29 UTC

Name: Peter Bjordahl

Organization: Parallel Public Works

Comment: Very interesting concept. Eager to be part of the process.

Area: Pilot New Forms of Public Engagement to Inform Policy and Program Implementation

Date/Time: 2023-09-05 19:14:55 UTC

Name: Michael Ball

Organization: USAID

Comment: Please inform me of future public engagement on this commitment